Moments that Linger

Someone walks away from a brand interaction and, for reasons they can't explain, remembers it. It made them *feel* something in a world engineered to numb.

There's a moment we don't talk about enough. It doesn't fit neatly on a slide. It isn't a checkout or a KPI. The campaign wraps, the deck closes, and growth gets sparked somewhere no dashboard can track. Someone walks away from a brand interaction and, for reasons they can't explain, remembers it. Maybe it made them feel seen. Maybe it made them feel smarter. Maybe it made them feel something in a world engineered to numb. It's the trace someone carries with them long after the brand has gone quiet. Delight, devotion, or just the comfort of being understood.

That moment, emotional residue, is the true *currency of marketing.*

Chief Marketing Officers (CMOs) today are balancing more than ever. Immediate return and enduring equity. The sprint of quarterly performance and the marathon of cultural relevance. The growth dilemma is not going away.

Meanwhile, technology is more seductive than ever. Content flows faster than attention. AI speeds up output but not meaning. Customer journeys are drawn like subway maps while real lives sprawl in unpredictable lines. The CMO's role is to choreograph the conditions in which memorable moments can emerge.

But much of what we still call *"brand experience"* is built for the map, not the memory, for the system, not the soul. We've reduced people to users and soulless personas. Metrics have replaced emotions. Consistency strangles creativity.

This piece is a response to that.

It's a call to reimagine brand experience as something alive. A responsive, emotional, cultural force that grows alongside the people it hopes to serve. It draws on conversations we've had with creative leaders, insights from behavioural science and lessons from cultural icons, as well as lived truths from brands who understand that culturally resonant systems are more powerful than clever slogans and seamless journeys.

MARCIN SKUCZ
Deloitte Digital

01

Most of what you're making disappears

If you removed your logo from your last three brand interactions, would anyone recognise you by *feeling* alone?

In 2009, Tropicana redesigned its packaging. The project was expensive, strategically deliberate, and thoroughly researched. The agency replaced the iconic orange-with-a-straw image with a clean, modern glass of juice. It looked sophisticated. It tested fine. But within two months, sales dropped by twenty percent, roughly thirty million dollars. Consumers couldn't find the product on the shelf. The emotional signal that said *"this is my juice"* had been stripped away in the name of contemporary design. Tropicana reverted to the original packaging and never spoke of it again.

Every decision in the redesign was defensible. The new packaging was cleaner, more modern, more "premium." But it was also catastrophically forgettable. The old packaging was recognised. It carried the accumulated emotional residue of a million mornings and when that was erased, the brand vanished. Many quieter versions of the same mistake are happening right now.

Consider retail banking. Over the past decade, nearly every major bank has rebuilt its digital experience from scratch. They have hired the best User Experience (UX) firms. They mapped every journey. They deployed chatbots and personalised dashboards and added biometric login and instant notifications. The apps are clean. The interfaces are fast. And if you put six of them side by side with the logos removed, you cannot tell them apart. The result is an industry where customers switch providers over a quarter-point rate difference, because no bank has given them an emotional reason not to. Billions of dollars in annual customer acquisition spending across the sector, and the primary competitive advantage is a savings rate that changes on Thursday.

This is a modern version of the Tropicana problem. Not a catastrophic blunder but a quiet obliteration. The brand didn't do anything wrong. It just never did anything that mattered.

What three forces inside your organisation are most likely to kill the ideas in this paper? Name them now. Hold them in mind as you read.

A trace is the part of an experience that lingers after it ends. The sense that someone on the other side of the system noticed you. You don't always remember the details. You remember the meaning, the tone, the care.

Ask someone why they love a brand and they never describe the campaign. They describe a moment: *"I remember the way the box felt." "That email made me laugh on a bad day." "They solved it, and then they checked in again without trying to sell me anything."* Small moments that have an unreasonable degree of impact. In a world of sensory overload, the brands that win aren't always those that remove every element of friction from the customer relationship.

Most of what we call the customer experience is not designed for this. It's designed for completion. Map the steps, reduce friction, increase conversion. This is useful, but incomplete. The uncomfortable truth is that most brand experiences being delivered today are forgettable. They work but leave absolutely nothing behind.

Here's a test for any brand experience: can you explain the idea behind it in one sentence to someone who doesn't work in marketing? If you can't, the idea is not yet formulated. And if it isn't, no amount of journey mapping, personalisation, or optimisation will create an experience that leaves a positive trace behind.

It was beautiful.
It just never did
anything that
mattered.

02

Map is not the life

Can a person describe your brand experience as providing a feeling rather than a feature?

In 1986, Jim Wigon decided America was ready for a better ketchup. He made one. Hand-picked tomatoes, a recipe he'd spent years perfecting and called it World's Best Ketchup. In blind tests, people often preferred it to Heinz's ubiquitous ketchup. He kept trying for years. He reformulated, rebranded, pitched retailers.

Nothing worked.

Ketchup proved not to be like mustard. Mustard has dozens of varieties and the market has a place for each one. The monopoly on ketchup refused to fracture. Heinz had perfected a product that hit all five taste dimensions at once: sweet, sour, salty, bitter, and umami, and every artisanal challenger has failed.

The problem of ketchup challengers does not have to do with quality.

The rational analysis like better ingredients, better taste, better price, proved completely irrelevant. People didn't choose Heinz because they had evaluated the alternatives. They chose it because it was ketchup. The brand had become the category. The feeling had become the fact.

Brand experience has its own version of this problem. The customer journey became the Holy Grail of modern marketing in the early 2010s, and we've been worshipping it ever since. Lines on a page, neatly connecting awareness to conversion, coloured with good intentions.

Somewhere along the way, the map became the mission. We took something descriptive and made it prescriptive. We started designing experiences for how we wish people behaved: linear, rational, obedient.

But people are not like that. They browse at midnight. They ignore you for months. They come back because of a half-remembered feeling. Real life is not a sequence but a series of contexts, moods, interruptions, needs. The brand experience is won not by perfecting the path but by meeting the person.

Consider what Spotify does with its Wrapped review feature every December. The same data that powers recommendations all year gets repackaged into an emotionally choreographed story of the customer's listening life. In 2025, Spotify Wrapped was shared 500 million times within just the first 24 h. People share it like a badge of identity. Wrapped works because Spotify treats the user as someone living a life that has a soundtrack, and it offers that life back in a way that makes the person feel seen.

Now consider the opposite. Every airline app sends a "rate your experience" survey thirty seconds after you've landed. From a delayed flight on which your luggage was lost. That's a journey map working exactly as it was designed but it's also a brand acting like someone who asks how you are while looking at their phone.

The traditional customer experience model asks, *"What path do we want people to take?"* That question has a built-in flaw: it assumes the brand is the protagonist and the consumer is just traversing your obstacle course. The better question is: *"How do people actually live, and how can we show up in a way that matters?"* Teams spend millions optimising customer journeys, then lose the customer because the brand was deaf in the moment that mattered.

The shift is from scripting steps to sensing context. From optimising endpoints to designing peaks. From customer *"journeys"* to life. Because when people say they love a brand, they're not complimenting the pathway you provide. They're describing the feeling with which they are left.

03

Creativity within the machine

Can a team create something new without asking permission and still land on-brand?

In 2001 an agency called Fallon created a series of eight short films called The Hire for BMW starring Clive Owen, as full narrative pieces directed by prominent filmmakers like Ang Lee and Guy Ritchie.

When the series was submitted to Cannes, the jury couldn't categorise it, which led to the creation of the Titanium Lions, an entirely new award for ideas that embody game-changing creativity. The BMW film series is now in the permanent collection of the Museum of Modern Art in New York.

Brand style guides are like the Cannes jury. Built to respect categories, not to accommodate game-changing creativity. They were designed for a slower world where a brand manual could stay relevant for five years. That world is gone.

What brands need now isn't more consistent assets but a system that can behave consistently while adapting in real time, the way a good jazz musician can sit in with any band and sound like themselves while playing the room.

The Caption with Intention project illustrates what this looks like. FCB Chicago with the Chicago Hearing Society and Rakish Entertainment redesigned closed captions as an adaptive design system using animation, colour, and expressive typography to convey both what characters say and how they feel. Developed through nearly a year of co-creation with the deaf community, it doesn't enforce a single template. It teaches a principle: captions should carry emotional intention. The result adapts to every film, every scene, every mood, and yet remains unmistakeably itself. Watch what it actually does. A whisper gets small, trembling text. A shout explodes across the screen. Sarcasm gets a visual wink. The system is reading the room. Different moment, different tone, same soul. Every film looks different yet feels part of the same family. That's coherence without sameness, the way you'd recognise your mother's handwriting in any sentence she wrote. And the system adapts to new genres that come along, horror, musical, documentary, without breaking. It evolves without losing what it is.

The leadership shift this demands is real. It moves from policing consistency to teaching taste. From shipping campaigns to building conditions where great work repeats itself. The best creative organisations have always operated as small teams embedded within large networks: fast and nimble. The challenge for any brand operating system is the same: *how to stay sharp and human inside a machine that rewards scale. How not to behave like a big, stupid company.*

04

The five percent they'll actually remember

In 2016 the BBDO Melbourne agency built *"Graham"*, a life-sized sculpture of what a human body would need to look like to survive a car crash. Flat fatty face. No neck. Airbag sacs between the ribs. Extra joints in the legs. He was grotesque and impossible to forget. Decades of road safety statistics had failed to change driver behaviour. Graham captured the world in a week. People don't remember data. They remember feeling something powerful.

The late Daniel Kahneman, a Nobel Prize winning psychologist and a father of behavioural economics, called this the Peak-End Rule: people remember the emotional peak of an experience and how it ended. Everything in between compresses into noise. This holds a brutal truth for modern marketing. You can optimise ninety-five percent of the journey and still lose the customer in the five percent they actually remember.

Peak: Is there one moment in your brand's experience someone would describe as "surprisingly good"?

End: Does the final moment leave people feeling respected rather than processed?

Memory is where brand equity begins. The specific, emotional, carry-it-with-you kind of memory that makes someone choose you again without a coupon and forgive you when things go wrong. And memory is built across three zones: *functional, emotional and relational.*

Most brands optimise for function and declare victory. But when every interface works, feeling is the differentiator, not function.

Consider a small Dutch insurance company called Centraal Beheer. For years, their customer service emails opened the way every insurance email opens: policy number, claim reference, formal language. Then someone on the team rewrote the password-reset email. Instead of *"Your password has been successfully changed,"* it read: *"Done. Your new password is locked and loaded. If this wasn't you, let us know, we've got your back."* Seven words of personality. The open rate on that single transactional email doubled.

Customer satisfaction scores for digital interactions rose measurably in the quarter that followed. No campaign was needed. Just one person who understood that even a password reset is a moment where the brand either sounds alive or dead.

Most brands live in that Tuesday afternoon email. The error message. The hold music. The confirmation screen. These are moments that add up to a feeling, and most of them are designed by people who were never told that they too must reflect the brand's character in what they produce.

Three Zones

01

FUNCTIONAL

The foundation. Does it work without punishment? Clear navigation, fast performance, accessible design. This is table stakes. It earns you entry but it doesn't earn you love. It's the part nobody notices until it breaks.

02

EMOTIONAL

Where memory begins. The moment an interface disarms with humour. The tone that lands. Restraint at the right time. Budweiser's "One Second Ads" created ads just one second long, using only the first notes of iconic songs. It didn't need more. The emotional recognition was instant. That's what happens when a brand trusts its audience enough to say less.

# of Memory

03

RELATIONAL

The most powerful, and most neglected. The sense that a brand remembers the relationship, not just the user. Spotify learns your taste over years, not sessions. The best banking apps anticipate your next question, not just your last transaction. Relational design turns interactions into ongoing conversations.

It's where trust compounds.

Ninety-five percent is the price of entry.

Five percent is *the brand.*

Content is what carries feeling through the system. Mark Ritson, the marketing professor and brand consultant, revealed when he presented System1 and Effie data at Cannes 2025 that brands need to deploy their brand codes roughly seven times per communication. Most manage two or three.

In 2019 Mastercard did something more radical. It removed its own name from its logo entirely. Just the two overlapping circles remained. After more than twenty years of its *"priceless"* advertisements running across over a hundred markets, the accumulated brand equity was so deep that the word *"Mastercard"* had become redundant. Two circles and two colours were enough to provide full global recognition. Mastercard proved you could delete the most basic identifier a brand has and turn it into a strength. You know their name and need no introduction. That kind of accumulated meaning happens when content is treated as circulation.

This is what makes memory dangerous to ignore: it compounds. An endpoint is private, one person converts, one ticket closes, nobody else knows. A memory can become social: the recommendation at dinner, the screenshot in a group chat, the sentence someone repeats. Mastercard's *"priceless"* entered the conversation, then the culture. That kind of credibility can only be earned by content that leaves something behind.

This shows up in the numbers. Brands with high unaided recall convert at meaningfully higher rates because the brand has left behind something worth remembering. Track trace metrics alongside your performance dashboard for four quarters then run the correlation. The relationship between emotional recall and revenue tends to reveal itself within two to four quarters. That's the argument your CFO needs: that your *feelings* are showing up in your revenue, and you can prove it.

Which raises the hardest question: if memory is built in the emotional and relational zones, who in your organisation is responsible for shaping those zones?

Content can be scaled with systems and speed. But the judgment to know what to say, how to say it, and when to say nothing hinges on taste, which separates a brand that produces content from a brand that produces traces. Managing tone and voice across years, markets, and media takes years to develop and moments to destroy.

05

A Garden on a Highway

Pre-Mortem: Can you name the three forces most likely to kill this initiative inside your organisation and formulate a plan to overcome each of them?

Everything mentioned above: the traces, the emotional design, the taste, gets murdered every day inside organisations. By mechanics. The machine kills the magic because it was built to optimise for things that aren't magical.

FEAR KILLS IT.

Fear of the quiet, daily kind kills the idea. The revision round that sands off every sharp edge until the work could belong to any brand. The legal review that turns a human sentence into a corporate one. The brief that arrives pre-scripted: *"we need a fifteen-second video for Instagram that communicates these four messages with this call to action"* leaving the creative team with no room to create. Only to assemble. The *"can we see three options"* request, which sounds reasonable but is actually cowardice, because it means no one in the room is willing to commit to a point of view. The stakeholder who gets added in round three, who wasn't there for the strategy, doesn't understand the context but has the seniority to kill the work with a single *"I'm not sure about this."* The discomfort is the point. If the work doesn't make someone in the approval chain nervous, it probably isn't worth shipping.

MEASUREMENT TYRANNY KILLS IT.

Measurement is essential. But the tyranny of measuring only what's easy to measure is a killer. Click-through rates, Conversion and Engagement are easy to measure. Memory and Trust are hard. Carrying a feeling home from an interaction and telling someone about it over dinner is nearly impossible to quantify, and is the thing that actually compounds into brand equity.

The Binet and Field IPA data analysis drawn from more than a thousand campaigns over two decades, is unambiguous: emotionally driven campaigns produce roughly twice the profit gains of rational ones, and the gap widens over time. Their recommended allocation, approximately sixty percent brand-building, forty percent activation, has become the most cited framework in effectiveness research. But most dashboards don't have a column for emotion. Therefore teams optimise for what the dashboard rewards, and the traces slowly disappear.

A new dashboard is not needed. What is needed are a few memory signals sitting alongside your performance metrics: unaided recall, emotional verbatims *(are people using feeling-words unprompted?)*, repeat without incentive, share behaviour, and recovery trust. Do people trust you more after a mistake because of how you handled it? These don't replace conversion metrics but they explain why your conversion metrics move the way they do. When unaided recall rises, conversion typically follows within two to four quarters. When emotional verbatims increase, Net Promoter Scores tend to move with them. The trace metrics help predict the performance metrics.

ORGANISATIONAL FRAGMENTATION KILLS IT.

This is the one no restructuring has solved. In most organisations the brand team and the performance marketing team operate in parallel universes. They sit in different buildings. Even in different time zones. They report to different leaders, use different tools, speak different languages, and have fundamentally different definitions of success. The brand team thinks a good quarter means the campaign resonated.

The performance team thinks a good quarter means cost per acquisition went down. These are adversarial goals. And nobody in the C-suite is refereeing. The result is predictable: a brand that sounds like itself in the campaign and sounds like a stranger in the retargeting ad. A social feed with personality and a CRM programme lacking in it. A lovingly crafted brand film followed by a checkout flow that could belong to any company on earth. The brand system is useless if it only governs the brand team's output. It has to govern all the brand's output: every email, every ad, every automated notification, every customer service script. Someone, and not a committee, has to own the integration. A person with authority, taste, and a mandate that crosses the brand-performance divide. If that person doesn't exist in your organisation, you don't have a brand system. You have a brand island surrounded by an ocean of off-brand content.

THE TALENT GAP KILLS IT.

You can design the most beautiful operating system in the world and staff it with people who don't have the judgement to use it. The best creative organisations run small core teams, ten, fifteen people, and keep them together for decades. They hire for taste. The system works because the people inside it understand why the work matters, and how it's made. If your brand operating system is only as good as the brief that feeds it, you've built a dependency.

AI WITHOUT TASTE KILLS IT.

Every CMO is spending a significant portion of their content budget on AI-assisted creation. That's not going to change. The question isn't whether to use AI, it's whether AI is encoding your brand's character or averaging it away. AI trained on your entire content library will produce the mean of everything you've ever published. The mean is, by definition, mediocre.

The answer isn't to keep AI at arm's length but to teach it what good looks like, in the same way as you'd teach a talented new hire who has speed but no judgment yet.

Don't feed AI with everything you've ever made, but with the twenty or thirty pieces that represent the brand at its best: the work your most senior creative leader would put on the wall and say, *"That's us."* That curated library becomes the AI's sense of taste.

Then turn to the curation layer. Every piece of AI-generated content should pass by a human with the authority to kill it . Someone who can look at the output and feel in their gut whether it sounds like the brand or a chatbot wearing the brand's clothes. This filter needs to be fast: one person, clear decisions, a thirty-minute turnaround. If the curation layer takes a week, the team will route around it, and the untested content ships anyway.

Finally, build the feedback loop. When AI output gets killed, log why. When it ships and performs, log what made it work. Over time, the pattern becomes a set of principles you can encode back into the prompts as guardrails. AI gets better if the system learns from its own taste decisions. Then it becomes a tool with a memory.

A system with AI at its core can move at extraordinary speed. *The question is whether it's moving toward something worth remembering.*

06

How to run in myriad markets and remain one

KitKat's *"Have a Break, Have a KitKat"* has been running for sixty-eight years across more than a hundred markets. Technology, media, culture, almost everything about the world has changed since the KitKat line was written by Donald Gilles at J. Walter Thompson in 1957. But the idea is so big, and so fundamentally human that it translates across cultures and survives over time. Markets from France to Brazil to South Africa express the concept in their own vernacular: different formats, different humour, different media.

The ***"Phone Break"*** campaign ran with no logo, no tagline, no text, and achieved almost perfect recognition thanks to a system so clear that people on every continent can create freely within it without breaking it.

A brand OS is not one-size-fits-all. At KitKat or Spotify, the system is not a straitjacket. There is high flexibility, fast cultural response, room for local improvisation. At a luxury house, the system is, by contrast, strict, requiring extraordinary precision rather than improvisation. Every expression is deliberate, every touchpoint curated, because luxury's value depends on controlled scarcity and the feeling that nothing happened by accident. In B2B or regulated industries, such as financial services, healthcare and energy, the system runs on trust, not flair.

The brand's character is expressed in clarity, reliability, and moments where a human voice breaks through institutional language. The architecture is the same. The calibration is different.

The question is how much freedom the system should grant before it starts to dilute the message rather than strengthen it.

The brand OS needs a single accountable leader with authority that spans brand and performance, creative and operations, global and local. This person could be called the Head of Omnichannel Experience, Chief Brand Officer, CMO, or whatever fits your structure.

The title matters less than the mandate. This person is responsible for everything the brand says, does, and feels, across every channel and market. Without this role the brand OS becomes a PDF on a shared drive that governs nothing.

The Brand's

Design that teaches.

A system that communicates intent, not just components. It gives people rules of the road and room to drive. In 2021, a Nigerian medical student named Chidiebere Ibe posted an illustration of a black fetus in utero. It went viral, because of people realised they had never seen one before. The reason: just 4.5% of images in medical textbooks depicted dark skin. Doctors trained on these materials showed measurably lower diagnostic accuracy for darker-skinned patients. Johnson & Johnson's *"Illustrate Change"*, created by Deloitte Digital in collaboration with Ibe, built an open library of diverse medical illustrations and a fellowship to train the illustration of colour. It won four Cannes Lions. The design system didn't just deliver a product. It taught a principle: everybody deserves to be seen.

Content that moves.

A modular ecosystem designed for speed, localisation, and reuse, without losing voice. The Nutter Butter TikTok transformation of 2024 showed what happens when a sixty-year-old cookie brand lets go of rational messaging and embraces surreal, psychedelic content. The posts looked insane and racked up millions of views, and sales grew. The brand found a new voice without losing its character. But content that moves also needs to travel across cultures, not just channels. A system that works in Stockholm and stalls in Lagos has been built for one audience and not for translation. The test is whether a team in São Paulo or Jakarta can use the same principles to create something locally true and globally coherent, without waiting for headquarters to approve the translation.

OS

Culture that clicks.

The human layer. How teams collaborate, how taste is trained, how decisions get made, how bravery is protected. Penny, the German supermarket chain, ran *"True Cost"* for one week repricing everyday products to their real environmental cost and charging shoppers the difference. This was beautiful, provocative, and genuinely uncomfortable. Work of this kind is only possible within a culture that can value uncomfortable ideas. The system is only as alive as the culture within which it exists.

Experience that listens.

A feedback system that captures not just what worked, but what felt real. Performance plus memory signals. Conversion plus trust signals. Apple's "Shot on iPhone" — the 2025 Creative Effectiveness Grand Prix has run for a decade because the platform listens to what users create and reflects it back. The brand gets better because the system learns.

A brand OS changes three things.

Decision velocity. Fewer approvals, clearer decision rights, principles replace permission.

Quality at scale. Taste becomes teachable through examples, critiques, and shared standards, not a PDF of rules.

Learning loops. The organisation listens, adapts, and improves based on real signals, not just what the dashboard says.

Tuesday afternoon test

Most papers end with a summary. This one ends with a question you haven't answered yet.

Think about the next piece of work your brand is about to ship. Not the hero campaign or the keynote film. The Tuesday afternoon piece. The one that's on the calendar because it's on the calendar. The email, the push notification, the seasonal refresh nobody fought for.

Before it goes out, walk it to someone on your team who wasn't involved in making it. Show it to them without the logo.

Ask one question: What does this make you feel? If they describe a feature, you have content. If they describe a feeling, ***you have a trace.***

If they stare at it and say nothing, if the most honest response is a shrug, then you are about to spend money to be invisible. And no amount of journey mapping, personalisation, or AI-accelerated production will change that, because the problem was never volume or speed or technology.

The problem is that somewhere between the brief and the send button, the system stopped asking the only question that matters: will anyone carry this with them?

Do this once and it's a conversation.

Do it every Tuesday and it's a culture.

The Trace Audit

THE BRAND OS OWNER

A single accountable leader, not a committee. Authority spanning brand and performance, creative and operations, global and local. Ownership of everything the brand says, does and feels, the retargeting ad and the password reset, not just the campaign. Reports to the CEO or C-suite. If they report into a silo, the role is cosmetic.

The role threatens several senior leaders at once, which is why it so rarely gets created. So don't start with the title — start with a decision-rights charter over a single channel. Prove the model on the worst-performing touchpoint in the company, then expand the mandate. A title is the destination; a charter is Monday morning.

The owner's first act should be visible. Retire the most beloved, most on-brand-but-lifeless piece of work in the building. That's how the organisation learns the role has teeth. Authority you never use isn't authority.

THE FIVE MEMORY METRICS

METRIC	***HOW TO READ IT***	***WEIGHT***	***PREDICTS***
Unaided recall	Quarterly tracking; unprompted category association.	1	Conversion (lags 2–4 quarters).
Emotional verbatims	Open-ended post-interaction surveys coded for feeling-words; track the feeling-to-feature ratio.	1	Net Promoter Score.
Repeat without incentive	Return visits / repurchase, excluding coupon-driven or retargeted traffic.	0.5	Customer lifetime value.
Share behaviour	Screenshots, forwards, unprompted mentions, person-to-person transmission.	0.25	Acquisition-cost reduction (longest causal chain, treat as a signal).
Recovery trust	Repurchase within 90 days of a logged complaint, measured against a matched control group.	0.5	Retention.

Run these alongside your performance metrics, never instead. Not all five are equally proven, so each is labelled by how hard you can lean on it. Don't promise a CFO more than the evidence carries.

A note on timing. It's tempting to claim that recall predicts conversion within two to four quarters. Treat that as the hypothesis you're testing, not a fact you're asserting. Add the metrics, let one quarter of data accumulate, then run the correlation against your own numbers. If it holds, your own dashboard will make the case better than any paper can.

THE TASTE RITUALS

Taste isn't a document. It's repetition in public. Four standing practices, each producing something a team can point to.

Monthly taste review. The best and worst piece shipped that month, on the wall, discussed by creative and performance together. No blame. One question: does this carry a trace?

The kill list. A running log of work killed before shipping, and why. Reviewed quarterly. If everything was killed for the same reason, you've found your fear pattern, name it. Publish the list internally. The day people can read what got killed and why is the day they start making braver work, because they finally see the cost of the safe version.

The reference library. Twenty to thirty pieces representing the brand at its best, *"that's us."* Use it to onboard people, brief agencies, and train AI. Update annually. This is also your AI guardrail: feed the model the best, never the mean.

Decision-rights clarity. For every piece of content, one named person has final say. Not a committee. Write the name down.

THE 90-DAY START

For the CMO who read this on a plane and wants to begin next Monday.

Weeks 1–2: Diagnose. Run the Trace Audit with your leadership team. No preparation, just honest answers, about real work. Identify the three lowest scores and attach a name to each.

Weeks 3–4: Name the killers. Run the pre-mortem. Which of the five forces: fear, measurement tyranny, fragmentation, untasted AI, the talent gap — are most active right now? Name the meetings, the processes, the people.

Month 2: Install two metrics. Pick two of the five memory metrics. Add them to the existing dashboard; replace nothing. Let one quarter accumulate before you claim anything.

Month 3: The Tuesday audit, plus one brave act. Audit the last 30 pieces shipped. And ship one piece this quarter that makes someone in the approval chain genuinely nervous. If nothing made anyone nervous, you haven't started.

Three Forces to Kill

You named three forces in pre-mortem. Name them again now, and think of one move you can do to overcome that force.

Force 1

Your move

Force 2

Your move

Force 3

Your move

Notes

Trace Audit

Q / Y

Once a quarter, the leadership team sits with the eleven questions below and answers them out loud, together, about the actual last thing you shipped, not the hero campaign. Score each 1–5. Three or more below 3 means the system isn't breathing. The score is worthless unless the lowest one names a person and a meeting. Don't leave the room until the three weakest answers each have a name attached and a date to fix them. A diagnostic that ends in a number ends in nothing. Bring the work to the table, printed, logo removed. Don't discuss it in the abstract. Pin it on the wall and let the silence answer question eleven for you.

- [ ] If you removed your logo, would anyone recognise you by feeling alone?
- [ ] Can a person describe your brand experience as a feeling rather than a feature?
- [ ] Can a team create something new without asking permission and still land on-brand?
- [ ] Is there one moment someone would describe as "surprisingly good"?
- [ ] Does the final moment leave people feeling respected rather than processed?
- [ ] Can you name the three forces most likely to kill this initiative, and your plan for each?
- [ ] Would the brand still sound like itself if HQ went quiet for a month?
- [ ] Can you respond to a real cultural moment in days, not weeks, with coherence intact?
- [ ] Do you know which moments people actually remember and why?
- [ ] Do teams feel safe enough to create with judgment, not just compliance?
- [ ] Look at the last piece you shipped, the most recent, not the best. Does it carry a trace?

Notes

Trace Audit

Q / Y

Once a quarter, the leadership team sits with the eleven questions below and answers them out loud, together, about the actual last thing you shipped, not the hero campaign. Score each 1–5. Three or more below 3 means the system isn't breathing. The score is worthless unless the lowest one names a person and a meeting. Don't leave the room until the three weakest answers each have a name attached and a date to fix them. A diagnostic that ends in a number ends in nothing. Bring the work to the table, printed, logo removed. Don't discuss it in the abstract. Pin it on the wall and let the silence answer question eleven for you.

- ☐ If you removed your logo, would anyone recognise you by feeling alone?
- ☐ Can a person describe your brand experience as a feeling rather than a feature?
- ☐ Can a team create something new without asking permission and still land on-brand?
- ☐ Is there one moment someone would describe as "surprisingly good"?
- ☐ Does the final moment leave people feeling respected rather than processed?
- ☐ Can you name the three forces most likely to kill this initiative, and your plan for each?
- ☐ Would the brand still sound like itself if HQ went quiet for a month?
- ☐ Can you respond to a real cultural moment in days, not weeks, with coherence intact?
- ☐ Do you know which moments people actually remember and why?
- ☐ Do teams feel safe enough to create with judgment, not just compliance?
- ☐ Look at the last piece you shipped, the most recent, not the best. Does it carry a trace?

Notes

Trace Audit

Q / Y

Once a quarter, the leadership team sits with the eleven questions below and answers them out loud, together, about the actual last thing you shipped, not the hero campaign. Score each 1–5. Three or more below 3 means the system isn't breathing. The score is worthless unless the lowest one names a person and a meeting. Don't leave the room until the three weakest answers each have a name attached and a date to fix them. A diagnostic that ends in a number ends in nothing. Bring the work to the table, printed, logo removed. Don't discuss it in the abstract. Pin it on the wall and let the silence answer question eleven for you.

- [ ] If you removed your logo, would anyone recognise you by feeling alone?
- [ ] Can a person describe your brand experience as a feeling rather than a feature?
- [ ] Can a team create something new without asking permission and still land on-brand?
- [ ] Is there one moment someone would describe as "surprisingly good"?
- [ ] Does the final moment leave people feeling respected rather than processed?
- [ ] Can you name the three forces most likely to kill this initiative, and your plan for each?
- [ ] Would the brand still sound like itself if HQ went quiet for a month?
- [ ] Can you respond to a real cultural moment in days, not weeks, with coherence intact?
- [ ] Do you know which moments people actually remember and why?
- [ ] Do teams feel safe enough to create with judgment, not just compliance?
- [ ] Look at the last piece you shipped, the most recent, not the best. Does it carry a trace?

Notes

Trace Audit

Q / Y

Once a quarter, the leadership team sits with the eleven questions below and answers them out loud, together, about the actual last thing you shipped, not the hero campaign. Score each 1–5. Three or more below 3 means the system isn't breathing. The score is worthless unless the lowest one names a person and a meeting. Don't leave the room until the three weakest answers each have a name attached and a date to fix them. A diagnostic that ends in a number ends in nothing. Bring the work to the table, printed, logo removed. Don't discuss it in the abstract. Pin it on the wall and let the silence answer question eleven for you.

- [ ] If you removed your logo, would anyone recognise you by feeling alone?
- [ ] Can a person describe your brand experience as a feeling rather than a feature?
- [ ] Can a team create something new without asking permission and still land on-brand?
- [ ] Is there one moment someone would describe as "surprisingly good"?
- [ ] Does the final moment leave people feeling respected rather than processed?
- [ ] Can you name the three forces most likely to kill this initiative, and your plan for each?
- [ ] Would the brand still sound like itself if HQ went quiet for a month?
- [ ] Can you respond to a real cultural moment in days, not weeks, with coherence intact?
- [ ] Do you know which moments people actually remember and why?
- [ ] Do teams feel safe enough to create with judgment, not just compliance?
- [ ] Look at the last piece you shipped, the most recent, not the best. Does it carry a trace?

Notes

Tuesday Afternoon

date

The next thing you ship. Walk it to someone who wasn't involved. Show it without the logo. Ask one question:

What does this make you feel?

- [ ] Feature
- [ ] Feeling
- [ ] Shrug

Tuesday Afternoon

date

The next thing you ship. Walk it to someone who wasn't involved. Show it without the logo. Ask one question:

What does this make you feel?

- [ ] Feature
- [ ] Feeling
- [ ] Shrug

Tuesday Afternoon

date

The next thing you ship. Walk it to someone who wasn't involved. Show it without the logo. Ask one question:

What does this make you feel?

- [ ] Feature
- [ ] Feeling
- [ ] Shrug

Tuesday Afternoon

date

The next thing you ship. Walk it to someone who wasn't involved. Show it without the logo. Ask one question:

What does this make you feel?

☐ Feature ☐ Feeling ☐ Shrug

Tuesday Afternoon

date

The next thing you ship. Walk it to someone who wasn't involved. Show it without the logo. Ask one question:

What does this make you feel?

☐ Feature ☐ Feeling ☐ Shrug

Tuesday Afternoon

date

The next thing you ship. Walk it to someone who wasn't involved. Show it without the logo. Ask one question:

What does this make you feel?

☐ Feature ☐ Feeling ☐ Shrug

Where is your brand invisible?

What would you ship if no one had to approve it?

The moment your customers actually remember.

The next brave thing, and who has to say yes to it.

Do it once and it's a conversation.

Do it every Tuesday and it's a culture.

Sources

1. Deloitte, "The CMO Balancing Act" (2025)
Research on the growth dilemma facing CMOs — balancing immediate return with enduring equity. Proprietary Deloitte research.

CHAPTER 1 — MOST OF WHAT YOU'RE MAKING DISAPPEARS

2. Tropicana packaging redesign (2009)
PepsiCo / Arnell Group redesign; ~20% sales decline (~$30m) within two months; reverted within two months.
https://www.nytimes.com/2009/02/23/business/media/23adcol.html

CHAPTER 2 — THE MAP IS NOT THE LIFE

3. Malcolm Gladwell, "The Ketchup Conundrum," The New Yorker (6 Sept 2004)
Jim Wigon, World's Best Ketchup, and Howard Moskowitz's taste-segmentation research.
https://www.newyorker.com/magazine/2004/09/06/the-ketchup-conundrum

4. Spotify Wrapped (2025)
Annual personalised listening experience.

CHAPTER 3 — CREATIVITY WITHIN THE MACHINE

5. BMW Films, "The Hire" (2001–2002) — Fallon
Short films starring Clive Owen, directed by Ang Lee, Guy Ritchie and others. Prompted the creation of the first Titanium Lion (2003). Inducted into the MoMA permanent collection (2003).
https://www.moma.org/

6. Caption with Intention — Grand Prix, Cannes Lions 2025 (Design, Digital Craft & Brand Experience)
Created by FCB Chicago with the Chicago Hearing Society and Rakish Entertainment (the film company founded by director Marc Forster). Recognised — not created — by the Academy of Motion Picture Arts and Sciences, which honoured the technology with a Scientific & Technical Award of Merit. Won Grand Prix in Design, Digital Craft and Brand Experience at Cannes Lions 2025.
https://www.canneslions.com/

CHAPTER 4 — THE FIVE PERCENT THEY'LL ACTUALLY REMEMBER

7. BBDO Melbourne, "Graham" (2016)
Life-sized crash-survivable sculpture for the Transport Accident Commission, Victoria.
http://www.meetgraham.com.au/

8. Daniel Kahneman — the Peak-End Rule
Nobel-winning psychologist; founding figure of behavioural economics. Thinking, Fast and Slow, Farrar, Straus and Giroux, 2011.

9. Budweiser "One Second Ads" — Grand Prix, Cannes Lions 2025 (Audio & Radio)
One-second ads using the opening notes of iconic songs. Africa Creative DDB, São Paulo.
https://www.canneslions.com/

10. Centraal Beheer — password-reset email rewrite
Transactional email redesign that doubled open rates. Centraal Beheer (Achmea Group), Netherlands.

11. Mark Ritson — System1 / Effie data, Cannes Lions 2025
Analysis of 1,250+ campaigns. Brands should deploy their distinctive brand codes roughly seven times per communication for optimum recall (a tight palette of 3–4 codes); most deploy them two or three times.
https://www.marketingweek.com/

12. Mastercard logo evolution (2019)
Removed the brand name after 20+ years of "Priceless" across 100+ markets.
https://www.mastercard.com/news/

CHAPTER 5 — A GARDEN ON A HIGHWAY

13. Binet & Field, IPA Databank research
1,000+ campaigns over two decades. Emotional campaigns deliver ~2x the profit gains of rational ones; recommended ~60/40 brand-to-activation split. The Long and the Short of It, IPA, 2013.
https://ipa.co.uk/

CHAPTER 6 — HOW TO RUN IN MYRIAD MARKETS AND REMAIN ONE

14. KitKat, "Have a Break, Have a KitKat" (1957–present)
Line written by Donald Gilles at J. Walter Thompson (JWT) London in 1957 for Rowntree's. 68 years, 100+ markets, 400+ Japanese flavours.
https://www.nestle.com/

15. Chidiebere Ibe — viral medical illustration (Nov 2021)
Nigerian medical student's Black-fetus-in-womb illustration. A 2018 study found only 4.5% of medical-textbook images depicted darker skin.
https://news.artnet.com/art-world/chidiebere-ibe-medical-illustration-2043018

16. Diagnostic-accuracy disparity
Reduced diagnostic accuracy for darker skin tones.
https://www.nature.com/

17. Johnson & Johnson, "Illustrate Change" (June 2023)
Created by Deloitte Digital with Chidiebere Ibe; launched at Cannes Lions 2023.
https://www.jnj.com/

18. Nutter Butter TikTok (2024)
Surreal content transformation of a 60-year-old cookie brand. Millions of views; sales growth.

19. Apple, "Shot on iPhone" — Grand Prix, Cannes Lions 2025 (Creative Effectiveness)
Decade-long UGC platform recognised for sustained commercial impact. TBWA\Media Arts Lab.
https://www.canneslions.com/

20. Penny, "True Cost" / "Wahre Kosten" (2023) — Serviceplan, Munich
German discount supermarket. For one week (31 July–5 August 2023), across all 2,150 stores, nine products were repriced to their "true cost", adding the environmental and health externalities (soil, climate, water, health) to the shelf price. Calculations with the Nuremberg Institute of Technology and the University of Greifswald. https://www.penny.de/presse/pressemeldung_wahre--kosten-310723

GENERAL ATTRIBUTION

21. Cannes Lions International Festival of Creativity 2025
https://www.canneslions.com/

22. Contagious
https://www.contagious.com/

23. IPA Databank
https://ipa.co.uk/

24. Daniel Kahneman, Thinking, Fast and Slow (2011)
Farrar, Straus and Giroux.

PERRINE MASSET
Global Marketing Domain Leader
perrinemasset@deloitte.co.uk

DANNIE IVANOVA
Global CMO Program Leader
divanova@deloitte.ch

MARCIN SKUCZ
EMEA Content Supply Chain Leader
mwskucz@deloitte.ch

Deloitte.
Digital